

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,533 06/24/2003		John Paul Moon	RMH10918	7681	
25570 7.	590 08/27/2004		EXAMINER		
ROBERTS, M	ILOTKOWSKI AND	NOVOSAD, JENNIFER ELEANORE			
P. O. Box 1006	34				
MCLEAN, VA	22102	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3634		

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)		cs		
		10/601,533		MOON, JOHN PA	UL	0'		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jennifer E. N		3634				
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication 	n appears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicative period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, on. , a reply within the statutor period will apply and will ey statute, cause the applicat	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) day cpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	24 June 2003.						
.—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,12,13,18,19 and 22-25</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🛛	Claim(s) <u>2-11,14-17,20 and 21</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Exa	nminer.						
10)🖂	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 June 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection t							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	orrection is required	if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
,	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been r	eceived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been r	eceived in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority document	s have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 1	17.2(a)).					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certifie	d copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmer			—	,				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) X Infor	ce of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-94) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date <u>06-24-04</u> .	SB/08) 5)	Notice of Informal F		D-152)			

Art Unit: 3634

DETAILED ACTION

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the rack having a floor and the bottom member locatable beneath the floor, as in claim 25, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency.

Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Abstract

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means"

Art Unit: 3634

and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 24 are objected to because of the following informalities:

The language of the recitations "in a substantially vertical direction said left extensible member from a" in lines 6-7 and 10-11 of claim 1, and lines 14-15 and 18-19 of claim 24, appears to be grammatically incorrect. Note the correct language of the recitation in lines 9-11 of claim 25.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 12, 13, 18, 19, and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1, 12, 24, and 25, the word "means" is preceded by the word(s) "track", e.g., lines 3, 4, 5, and 9 of claim 1, line 4 of claim 12, lines 3, 5, 12, and 16 of claim 24, and lines 6, 7, 8, and 12 of claim 25, in an attempt to use a "means" clause to recite a claim element as a means for performing a specified function. However, since no function is specified by the word(s) preceding "means," it is impossible to determine the

Art Unit: 3634

equivalents of the element, as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. See *Ex parte Klumb*, 159 USPQ 694 (Bd. App. 1967).

Claim 13 recites the limitation "at least two of said pins" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It appears that perhaps the dependency of claim 13 should be changed from "2" to --12--.

The recitations "shaped to conform to a terraced arrangement of goods" and "shaped to conform to a terraced arrangement of bottles" in claims 18 and 19, render the claims indefinite. In particular, goods and bottles are not elements of the claimed device and it is improper to seek to define claimed structure based on a comparison to some unclaimed element. In this case, the boundaries of the claim cannot be properly ascertained because one would not know whether their device infringed the instant claim until someone else later added a good or a bottle. Accordingly, the features of the device, itself, must be defined instead of relying upon a comparison with an ascertained element.

Regarding claim 22, the phrase "and/or" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "and/or"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). Similarly, note that claim 23 requires "and".

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 12, 13, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, and 25 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and claims 2-11, 14-17, 20, and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Art Unit: 3634

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer E. Novosad whose telephone number is (703)-305-2872. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl D. Friedman can be reached on (703)-308-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Junio E. Moved Jennifer E. Novosad

Examiner
Art Unit 3634

Jennifer E. Novosad/jen August 25, 2004